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Energy-based devices for treatment of melasma
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Abstract: Melasma, as a pigmentation disorder, induces significant stress to the patients and its recurrent nature remains a challenge in clinical practice. Treatment is based on a variety of mechanisms to prevent and/or stop the pigment production process by destroying the deposited pigment for removal or release, by peeling cells to improve their turnover, and by reducing inflammation. The use of appropriate devices and correct settings are crucial in the treatment of melasma. Cases unresponsive to topical bleaches or chemical peels should be referred for laser therapy. It is important that a maintenance therapy to avoid the recurrence of melasma be indicated. In this paper, we review energy-based devices for melasma treatment.
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Introduction

Melasma is an acquired, generally symmetric, pigment disorder that appears as irregular macules and patches usually on the face, ranging from light- to dark-brown. It is commonly seen in fertile aged and darker-skinned women. The disease is prevalent in East Asians, Hispanics and in Indo-Chinese origin people who reside in locations with high-intensity ultraviolet (UV) radiation.

Contributing factors include UV exposure, pregnancy, hormonal variations, genetic inheritance, thyroid pathologies, the use of oral contraceptive or antiepileptic medicaments, and contact with irritant cosmetics. An elevated melanocyte activity seems to be the basic pathogenesis.

The negative impact of melasma in the patient’s life quality is very relevant. Treatments tend to be disappointing due to their relapsing nature, controversial therapies offering controls, and few preventative options.

Therapeutic alternatives include photoprotection, topical bleaches, and, increasingly, energy-based technologies. A number of lasers and light sources have been analyzed in the treatment of melasma, offering broadly varied results.

Melasma and energy-based devices

Melasma is a pigmentation disorder prevalent in sun-exposed areas, and is characterized by symmetrically distributed dark macules and patches. There are three classified clinical patterns: centrofacial (most common), malar, and mandibular. According to Wood’s light examination and histology, melasma can be epidermal, dermal, and mixed¹⁻³.

Despite the fact that melasma pathogenesis is not well understood, the most universally accepted cause is a hyperactivity of melanocytes when stimulated by UV light exposure. This situation leads to an increased tyrosine-mediated melanogenesis, and the melanosomes are transferred to epidermal keratinocytes⁴. Genetics, hormones/endorphinopathies, and photosensitizing medications also act and contribute to UV sensitivity. Estrogen,
related to pregnancy or to oral contraceptive pills, induces the melanocyte-stimulating hormone (MSH) discharge by stimulating tyrosinase, which explains the large number of cases in females[^4-6].

There are actually no guidelines for the treatment of melasma[^7]. As a current rule, sun avoidance and appropriate application of UVA- and UVB-blocking agents are counseled to patients[^8]. First-line therapy consists of bleaching agents and broad-spectrum sunscreens, especially fixed triple combinations (e.g., hydroquinone 4%, tretinoin 0.05%, and fluorocinolone acetonide 0.01%). For the second-line therapy, there are applications of chemical peeling agents. The third-line therapy is the use of technology, including laser modalities and intense pulsed light (IPL)[^2,9]. The major disadvantages of topical agents are the need for long-term utilization and the gradual or limited treatment responses. Furthermore, while topical therapies may be effective for epidermal melasma, it is noticed that dermal and mixed types are commonly refractory to topical monotherapy because of their deeper pigments. Energy-based devices appear as alternatives to help dealing with resistant melasma circumstances[^10].

Lasers have transformed the treatment of many conditions in dermatology, as well as in pigmentary pathologies. Despite the fact that many pigmentary disorders have obtained good results with this modality, the safety and efficacy of lasers for melasma is, till now, controversial[^11,12].

Laser devices are often employed to treat pigmentary disorders through their photothermal, photomechanical, and ablative effects. The principle of photothermolysis dictates that the target molecule, the chromophore, should preferentially absorb the delivered wavelength of light, and that the light energy must be delivered over a period of time to damage the target while limiting collateral damage to adjacent structures[^13,14].

When targeting melanin, dermatologists must utilize a selective window between 630 and 1,100 nm, where a good skin penetration is reached and there is a preferential absorption of melanin over oxyhaemoglobin. The absorption of melanin decreases as wavelength increases, but a longer wavelength results in deeper skin penetration. Shorter wavelengths (< 600 nm) destroy pigmented cells with lower energy fluxes, while longer wavelengths (>600 nm) penetrate deeper and need more energy to induce melanosome destruction[^11].

Pigment specificity of lasers also depends on pulse width. With an approximated thermal relaxation time (TRT) of 250–1000 ns, melanosomes demand sub-microsecond laser pulses (<1 μs) for their selective damage; however, longer pulse durations in the millisecond domain do not induce specific melanosome destruction[^13].

**Q-switched lasers**

Q-switched Alexandrite, Q-switched Neodymium-doped yttrium aluminium garnet (Nd:YAG) and Q-switched Ruby lasers target melanosome with pulse durations in the nanosecond range. These short pulse targets the small chromophore of melanin and generates photoacoustic effect that leads to melanin destruction[^11-46].

The threshold for the photoacoustic damage of skin by Q-switched Nd:YAG laser (QSNY) light is between 1.6–5 J/cm², which indicates that pigment destruction can occur without skin ablation. So, this modality is selective (targeting pigmented structures) and it is non-ablative (being below the photo-acoustic threshold). This effect justifies the idea that the improvement of melasma by sub-thermolytic QSNY light is due to the selectivity of this light on a sub-cellular level, as it destroys exclusively pigments and not cells, hence being named “sub cellular, selective thermolysis”[^1].

“Laser toning” is a technique that has become increasingly popular. It involves 1064-nm QSNY low fluences (1.6–3.5 J/cm²), large spot sizes (6–8 mm), and multiple passes, and it is performed in 5–10 sessions at weekly to monthly intervals (Figure 1)[^15,16]. Parra and co-workers studied 16 Brazilian women (Fitzpatrick III–IV) who had mild to severe facial melasma. They were treated only with 1064-nm QSNY at one-week intervals for 10 weeks (8-mm spot size, 0.8–1.6 J/cm² of fluence and 10-Hz pulse repetition rate). The results showed a statistically significant improvement one week after the treatment and at day 30. However, no significant improvement was observed at day 90 or at day 180 compared to baseline. By the six-month follow up, similar rates of recurrence and maintenance of improvement were observed[^17].

Jeong and co-workers performed a split-face crossover study on 13 patients of Fitzpatrick phototypes III and IV where Q-switched (QS) 1064-nm laser therapy (7 mm, 1.6–2.0 J/cm² and 2 passes) was contrasted with pre- or post-treatment with triple combination (TC) cream (4% hydroquinone, 0.05% Tretinoin, and 0.01% fluorocinolone acetonide). The authors noticed that when laser treatment was used after eight weeks of topical treatment, better results than before were obtained[^18].

Wattanakrai and co-workers developed a split-face trial on 22 patients with dermal- or mixed-type melasma, in which they compared treatment using sub-thermolytic QSNY and topical 2% hydroquinone with treatment.
using 2% hydroquinone alone. Each subject was treated with 3.0–3.8 J/cm² at 10 Hz for five sessions at one-week intervals. They observed that the degree of lightening on the laser-treated side was higher or equal to the control side. The mild side effects disappeared within an hour of the treatment, but three patients developed mottled hyperpigmentation (all with skin type V). Eight of 22 patients developed confetti-type hypopigmentation. Although the laser treatment was discontinued, all of the patients exhibited some degree of rebound hyperpigmentation. Gokalp and co-workers found the melasma recurrence rates from low-fluence 1064-nm QS laser was high after one-year follow-up (60% of who had responded well to the initial treatment).

Melasma can be safely and successfully treated with sub-thermolytic 1064-nm QSNY therapy if correctly applied, and even low fluences (1.6 J/cm²) can be effective to prevent complications. In order to obtain the best results, laser therapy should be combined with topical agents. Side effects are commonly transitory and mild, such as transient erythema and edema, which disappear within an hour. One of the most serious side effects is hypopigmentation. High fluences inducing direct phototoxicity and cellular destruction of melanocyte, as well as subthreshold additive effect of various doses, intrinsic unevenness of skin pigmentation, and non-uniform laser energy output, could be possible pathogenic processes for this depigmentation.

The QS Ruby laser (QSRL) at 694 nm is more selective for melanin than 1064-nm QSNY. However, its efficacy for melasma is controversial. Hilton and co-workers treated 25 Caucasian women with melasma with 4–8 J/cm² and 1 Hz of frequency. Post-inflammatory hyperpigmentation (PIH) and recurring melasma were observed in 28% and 44% patients, respectively, after three-month follow-up.

The 755-nm QS Alexandrite laser (QSAL) has so been applied for cutaneous pigmented lesions. A split-face study with 20 male and female subjects with moderate to severe mixed-type melasma was conducted to make a comparison between low-fluence QSNY 1064-nm and low-fluence QSAL 755-nm. The authors found that both low-fluence Q-switches were equally effective at improving facial melasma. In this study, on the QSAL-treated side, only 1–2 passes were required to reach the endpoint of mild erythema, while more than eight passes were sometimes needed to get the same endpoint with QSNY. In addition, no increased adverse events were seen with QSAL. Therefore, it is important not to overlap or accidentally pulse stack when using the QSAL, because it could overstimulate melanocytes more easily than the 1064-nm QSNY could.

Long-pulsed lasers

Long-pulsed lasers (LPL), with pulse widths of micro-
seconds to milliseconds, have been recently found to be effective for treating epidermal pigmented lesions. Lee et al. treated 48 patients of melasma with two to four sessions of fractional, long-pulsed Alexandrite laser at two- to three-week intervals (60–80 J/cm², 15-mm spot size, 0.5–1.0-ms pulse width). Two months after finishing treatment, the mean modified melasma area and severity index score reduced significantly (16.5 vs. 11.5; \( p = 0.002 \)). They determined that this modality of laser is moderately effective in dealing with melasma and it has low risk of adverse effects.[23]

The QS laser delivers high energy with a short pulse width and it causes not only photothermal but also photomechanical effects that can be transmitted to the normal skin, increasing the risk of PIH. On the other hand, LPL seems to have a gentler heating effect than QS. By decreasing the exposure energy to a level that would not cause epidermal injury, the LPL is effective for epidermal pigmentation through a purely photothermal effect.[23]

A study on 360 Korean patients compared the effectiveness and safety of combination therapy using low-fluence QS NY and long-pulse Nd:YAG laser (dual toning) with treatment using low-fluence QS NY monotherapy. The results showed superior improvement and less adverse effects (1.1% vs. 14.1%) in the dual toning group.[23]

Non-ablative fractionated lasers

Several among the lasers used for the treatment of melasma are non-ablative fractionated lasers (NAFL). Such devices are generally safer and do not cause many of the side effects of ablative systems. Fractional photothermolysis creates microthermal zones and leaves most of the treatment area intact. The intact skin aids in the healing process via the extrusion of necrotic debris and the migration of keratinocytes[11,23]. Although the use of NAFL has become popular, there are still very few evidence-based data supporting it in melasma therapy. Karsai and co-workers studied 51 patients, and their conclusions do not sustain the hypothesis of non-ablative 1550-nm fractional laser providing a substantial benefit in treating melasma when compared to the broad-spectrum sunscreen application alone[8]. Barylsch and co-workers treated 14 subjects in a split-face study with non-ablative fractioned photothermolysis 1540-nm (320 MTZ/cm², 15-ms pulse length) in three sessions (weeks 0, 3–4, 6–8) and a follow-up (week 26–28). The results reached the highest pigment reduction after the first two sessions, although melasma partially recurred after 26–28 weeks from baseline. In addition, 17% of patients suffered from worsening lesions because of PIH (skin types III and IV). The authors noticed that despite its lower side effects, NAFL requires prudence in darker skin types (III+) because of the PIH risk, and sessions should be performed, if any at all, with low densities[24].

Ablative fractionated lasers

The Erbium-doped yttrium aluminium garnet (Er:YAG) laser emits light with a 2940-nm wavelength, which is highly absorbed by water. In addition, it ablates the skin with minimal thermal injury. Attwa et al. used the Er:YAG laser in 15 Egyptian female subjects with melasma who were refractory to bleaching creams and chemical peels. Recurrence of melasma was noticed in five patients and PIH was noticed three to six months after treatment began. The study found that 2940-nm Er:YAG ablative laser resurfacing effectively improved melasma; however, the PIH's transient appearance needs persistent and prompt intervention[25].

Manaloto et al. reported improvement of melasma immediately after treatment with Er:YAG laser. Nevertheless, all patients developed PIH between three and six weeks post-operatively[26]. The occurrence of PIH limits the use of this laser for recalcitrant melasma[11].

Trelles et al. evaluated 30 female (skin types II–IV), comparing treatment with topical anti-pigmenting cream alone (Kojic acid, glycolic acid, hydroquinone 2%) vs. 10,600-nm fractional ablative CO₂ resurfacing vs. 10,600-nm fractional ablative CO₂ resurfacing and topical anti-pigmenting cream maintenance. Overall, efficacy in all three groups were 100% at one month but progressively declined in further assessment, except for the laser/topical anti-pigmenting cream group, which showed maintenance of improvement after 12 month follow-up[27].

Fractional ablative laser alone cannot be considered highly effective for treating melasma, and in darker skin types, the risk of PIH is elevated. Ablative Er:YAG and CO₂ lasers are generally safe for patients with Fitzpatrick skin types III–V, but the large rates of PIH require the use of adjunctive anti-pigmenting agents[12].

Intense pulsed light

Intense pulsed light (IPL) devices utilize a flash lamp to generate non-coherent, high-energy, broad-spectrum light ranging from 500 nm to 1,200 nm. Filters can be applied to increase target specificity. For epidermal sions, 500–550 nm filters are often used. Despite the refractory and recurrent nature of melasma, IPL is an effective treatment modality[8]. Zoccali et al. conducted 38 patients with melasma, working with cutoff filters of 550 nm, pulse of 5–10 ms, pulse delay of
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10–20 ms and low fluence of 6–14 J/cm², and reached 80%–100% clearance in 47% patients.[29] Choosing the correct IPL settings in the treatment plays an important role. The fluence can be adjusted in relation to the anatomic sites, and higher fluences are helpful for deeper lesions but induce PIH in dark-skinned patients.[29] Consequently, lower fluence should be used for higher phototypes. Single pulses heat pigment well, but double or triple pulses should be used, as they decrease the thermal damage by permitting the epidermis to cool while the target stays warm. It is thought that the delay time between pulses should not be less than 10 ms because it raises the risk of thermal damage as the targeted tissue cannot diminish its temperature within that time. More sessions are necessary for maintenance and to reduce the possibility of recurrence.[11].

Unlike conventional IPL system, Chung and co-workers found that pulse-in-pulse IPL mode (multiple fractionated subpulses within one pulse duration of 10 ms) could be a secure and hopeful treatment for melasma, as it does not raise the temperature of the purpose tissue.[30]

Moreno Arias and Fernando found a clearance of 76%–100% for superficial lesions such as ephelides, café au lait macules, and epidermal melasma in their study of IPL and melanocytic lesions. Nevus spilus showed good clinical clearance (51%–75%); though deep lesions such as nevus of Becker, epidermal nevus, and mixed melasma showed an average clearance of less than 25%. PIH was observed in melasma.[31]

Wang et al. compared 17 patients (Fitzpatrick skin types III–IV) with refractory melasma treated with IPL and 4% hydroquinone cream versus 4% hydroquinone cream alone. A 570-nm cutoff filter was utilized initially, and 590–615-nm filters later on, to reach deeper melanin. They performed four sessions at four-week intervals. Patients in the IPL group gained 39.8% improvement in relative melanin index, while there was an 11.6% improvement in the control group (p < 0.05) at week 16. Recurrence was observed in two patients from the IPL group 24 weeks after the last session.[32].

Although IPL is effective for epidermal melasma, it must be kept in mind that dermal or mixed melasma treated with higher fluences has the risk of PIH.[33]. Also, sun protection and hydroquinone should accompany IPL to maintain results (Table 1).

**Picosecond lasers**

Many other options that could also help to improve results are being more accepted nowadays, including oral tranexamic acid, antioxidants, and laser-assisted drug delivery.[34]. Several studies have been conducted to find the best way to treat melasma. Some technologies have been developed, aiming for good results and lower adverse effects on tissues. Q-switched lasers work through extremely fast pulses, typically 5 to 50 nanoseconds. Recently, technologies have been developed in pico- and femtoseconds, which have the advantage of penetrating deep tissue and of generating very high power, although the high energy is not required. So, low energy can be used, leading to smoother treatments and faster recuperation.[35].

Picosecond lasers (PSL) intend to treat skin conditions using high pulse energy and extremely small depths. At PSL pulse widths, acoustic stress can be larger than thermal stress, leading to more efficient fracturing for similar pulse energies, particularly for smaller pigmented particles. In addition, less pulse energy is required to achieve similar acoustic fracture pressures, resulting in reduced risk of scarring. Picosecond pulses confine the energy to the pigment particle more effectively, resulting in increased photoacoustic breakup of the target. This allows an effective treatment at lower fluences, thereby decreasing the thermal energy transferred to surrounding tissues. In pigmented lesions, the mechanism of action is predominantly the fragmentation of melanosomes and the scattering of the melanin particles.[36]. Friedman treated 29 melasma patients of skin types II–IV, who were unsuccessfully treated with topical agents, with a large spot 532-nm Nd:YAG picosecond-domain laser. Subjects received 1–4 sessions spaced 3–5 weeks apart. Patients self-assessed their average improvement as 28%, 50%, and 62% after the first, second, and third treatment, respectively. Those with skin type VI achieved an average of 60% improvement without PIH.[37].

As the energy-based device market matures, more studies will help to decide which devices and settings lead to optimal treatment improving outcomes.

**Conclusion**

Melasma is a pigment disorder difficult to be treated. The use of lasers to treat melasma must aim for minimal side effects and maximum efficacy. Choosing the appropriate energy-based device and correct settings is crucial (Table 2). Topical bleaching agents are still the gold standard of therapy because they are cheaper and more efficient when compared to lasers.

It is important that appropriate maintenance therapy is chosen to avoid recurrences. Cases unresponsive to topical therapy or chemical peels must be referred to laser-based treatment modalities. The combination of
Table 1. Summary of clinical studies of IPL for melasma treatment[11]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author/Year</th>
<th>Type of study</th>
<th>No. of patients/skin type</th>
<th>Type of melasma</th>
<th>Laser</th>
<th>Sittings/interval</th>
<th>Dose</th>
<th>Assessment</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Side effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wang et al., 2004[32]</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>17/ III-IV</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>IPL + HQ foolishFirst session: 570 nm filter Rest: 590–615 nm filter</td>
<td>4/4 week</td>
<td>Fluence: 26–33 J/cm² Double mode Pulse length: 3–5 ms Delay: 30–35 ms</td>
<td>Objective</td>
<td>Excellent (76%–100%): 12% Good (51%–75%): 23% Fair (26%–50%): 35% Poor (0–25%): 30%</td>
<td>Erythema, pain, PIH (2 patients)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zoccali et al., 2010[28]</td>
<td></td>
<td>38/ III-IV</td>
<td>IPL Filter: 550 nm</td>
<td>3–5/40–45 days</td>
<td>Fluence: 6–14 J/cm² Pulse: 5–10 ms; Delay: 10–20 ms</td>
<td>Objective</td>
<td>Excellent (80%–100%): 47% Good (60%–79%): 30% Moderate (40%–59%): 13% Poor (&lt;39%): 11%</td>
<td>No side effects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moreno et al., 2001[31]</td>
<td></td>
<td>5/ II-IV</td>
<td>Epidermal</td>
<td>IPL Filter: 590 nm</td>
<td>2/4 weeks</td>
<td>Fluence: 6–14 J/cm² Pulse: 5–10 ms; Delay: 10–20 ms</td>
<td>Subjective only</td>
<td>76%–100% clearance</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dermal</td>
<td>Filter: 615 nm</td>
<td>4/8 weeks</td>
<td>Fluence: 6–14 J/cm² Pulse: 5–10 ms; Delay: 10–20 ms</td>
<td>&lt;25% clearance</td>
<td>PIH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**IPL:** Intense pulsed light  
**HQ:** Hydroquinone  
**PIH:** Post-inflammatory hyperpigmentation
Table 2. Advantages and disadvantages of technologies for the treatment of melasma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technologies</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q-switched lasers</td>
<td>Photothermal and photoacoustic effect</td>
<td>Risk of PIH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Takes to melanin destruction without skin ablation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QSNY</td>
<td>Immediate side effects mild and transitory</td>
<td>Risk of PIH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Destroys exclusively the pigment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QSRL</td>
<td>More selective for melanin than others QS</td>
<td>Risk of PIH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QSAL</td>
<td>Few passes are required to reach the endpoint</td>
<td>Risk of PIH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long pulsed lasers</td>
<td>Photothermal effect only</td>
<td>Less risk of PIH than QS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Without epidermal ablation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAFL</td>
<td>Creates microthermal zones without ablative effect</td>
<td>Risk of PIH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Few data supporting its use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>in melasma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFL</td>
<td>Ablates the skin with minimal thermal injury</td>
<td>High risk of PIH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPL</td>
<td>Filters can be applied to increase target specificity</td>
<td>Risk of PIH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Picosecond lasers</td>
<td>Reduced risk of scarring</td>
<td>Few data supporting its use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minimal downtime</td>
<td>in melasma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Less pulse energy required</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

QSNY: Q-switched Nd:YAG  
QSRL: Q-switched Rubi Laser  
QSAL: Q-switched Alexandrite  
PIH: Post-inflammatory hyperpigmentation  
QS: Q-switched  
NAFL: Non-ablative fractioned laser  
AFL: Ablative fractioned laser  
IPL: Intense pulsed light

Topical treatment and procedures are more effective than isolated treatments.
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